DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 265 993 RC 015 612

AUTHOR

Barker, Bruce O.

TITLE

Efforts to Improve the Praparation of Teachers for

Rural Schools.

PUB DATE

ATE 86

NOTE

12p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the Southwest Educational Research Association (Houston,

TX, January 30-February 1, 1986).

PUB TYPE

Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Conference

Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE

DESCRIPTORS

MIF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

Educational Research; Elementary Secondary Education; Higher Education; Preservice Teacher Education; Research Needs; *Rural Education; Rural Environment; *Rural Schools; *Rural Urban Differences; Student Teaching; *Teacher Education; *Teacher Education

Curriculum; Teacher Education Programs; Teaching

Conditions

ABSTRACT

Education students planning to teach in rural areas need, but do not receive, specialized training. Among differences encountered by rural teachers are professional isolation and limited inservice training; assignment to more subjects, more grade levels, and more extracurricular activities; lower budgets and salaries; inadequate materials; less pressured environment; greater cooperation; more student involvement; and more interaction among students, parents, and staff. A literature review suggests that teacher training programs are unresponsive to needs of prospective rural teachers and that research interest in rural education is limited. Suggestions for preparation of rural teachers include cultivating awareness of cultural, social, and economic conditions of rural areas through student teaching/practicums in nonmetropolitan areas; preparing future teachers to work with broader age ranges in multigrade classrooms and to teach several subjects; and encouraging faculty to conduct research in rural education. A comparative list of rural-urban differences in the work environment and a chart showing emphasis given by public institutions to topics applicable to rural teaching are included. (LFL)



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
 from the original document.

EFFORTS TO IMPROVE THE PREPARATION

OF

TEACHERS FOR RURAL SCHOOLS

Paper Presented at the Annual Conference

0f

The Scuthwest Educational Research Association

Houston, Texas

January 30-February 1, 1986

Ву

Bruce O. Barker Assistant Professor of Education Texas Tech University Lubbock, Texas 79409

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the Person or organization originating it

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this docu ment do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



EFFORTS TO IMPROVE THE PREPARATION

OF

TEACHERS FOR RURAL SCHOOLS

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) predicts that 1.65 million new school teachers will be hired over the next eight years (Jacobson, 1985). Due to the continuing urban to rural migration flow since the mid-1970's (Beale, 1975), an increased number of education graduates will be accepting teaching positions in nonmetropolitan areas. Teachers who serve predominately rural constituencies make up a sizable portion of America's educators. Based on NCES statistics (1980), over two-thirds of the nation's public school systems are in areas designated as rural and enroll about one-third of all school aged children.

Teaching in a Rural School

The basics of instruction are essentially the same for teachers in urban, suburban, and rural schools. Because there are important demands of the rural instructional setting not experienced in most city schools, education students who plan careers in rural areas need some specialized training. Rural teachers are generally more isolated from ongoing developments in their field. Secondary teachers typically teach a wider range of courses than their metropolitan counterparts and are expected to take on added extra curricular assignments. Elementary teachers are likely to teach two or more grade levels in the same classroom. Rural teachers are apt to receive limited or sporadic inservice training. Budgets are often much lower per capita than those for most urban or suburban schools; it is not urusual for supplies and materials to be either outdated or inadequate; and teacher salaries are about 20 percent below the



the level for metropolitan teachers (Barker, 1985; Hoyt, 1981; Muse, 1979/80).

Sher (1977) has indicated that other features uniquely distinguish rural schools from urban schools. These include a slower paced, less pressured environment; a greater spirit of cooperation among students and staff; more opportunities for student leadership and involvment, and more formal interaction among students, staff, and parents. A more detailed list of characteristics compiled by Nachtigal (1982, p. 270) substantiates the claim that living and working in a rural environment differs considerably from living in an urban setting (see Figure 1).

Figure 1

Rural

Urban

Impersonal/loosely coupled

Personal/tightly linked
Generalists
Homogeneous
Nonbureacratic
Verbal communication
Who said it
Time measured by seasons of the year
Traditional values
Entrepreneur
Made do/respond to environment

Specialists
Hetercgeneous
Bureaucratic
Written memos
What's said
Time measured by time clock
Liberal values
Corporate labor force
Rational planning to control
environment

Self-sufficiency Poorer (Spendable income) Less formal education Smaller/less density

Leave problem solving to experts
Richer (Spendable income)
More formal education
Larger/greater density

Taken from Nachtigal, (1982). <u>Rural Education in Search of a Better Way</u>, p. 270.

A Review of Research on Preservice Training for Rural Teachers

A review of the literature suggests that teacher training programs in our nation's colleges and universities are overwhelmingly unresponsive to the needs of prospective rural teachers (Gardener and Edington, 1982; Horn, 1985; Massey and Crosby, 1983; Muse and Stonehocker, 1979; and Nelson, 1983). Although there are a large number of students who attend rural schools, few institutions of higher education have teacher training programs which include components



designed specifically to help prepare teachers for rural areas. Some institutions have indicated they would never develope such an effort because it would be inappropriate for them to do so (Horn, 1981). One reason is that many large universities and colleges are located in metropolitan centers. Faculty members at these institutions conduct research and maintain interests in large city schools which are conveniently located, rather than traveling to distant, isolated rural schools. Results of a survey by Muse (1978) reported that "no more than six universities in the nation offered courses that might be of prospective value to rural teachers" (page 5). Sher (1977) stated that only a handful of teacher education programs provided special training to prepare teachers for rural schools.

The literature does identify between 20 to 25 institutions which uniquely attempt to train teachers for rural schools (Gardener and Edington, 1982; Helge, 1982; Hoyt, 1981; Miller and Sidebottom, 1985). Guenther and Weible (1983) report writing letters to 25 colleges and universities identified in the literature as having some form of special preparation program for rural schools. Their results were discouraging. Of the institutions which responded, only one actually had an ongoing program. The other colleges/universities, although recognizing the need for a separate preparation, either never had a special program in actual practice or had discontinued the program. According to Horn (1985), institutional support and commitment to many special preparation programs is weak, often because the interest in developing and conducting a special program for rural school teachers is maintained by a single faculty member in the college.

Findings from a Recent Survey

Research interest in rural education on the part of education faculty members is also limited. In a 1985 study, Barker and Beckner gathered information



from 306 of the nation's public four-year colleges and universities. Based on responses from education deans and chairpersons, only 1.9 percent of education faculty members focused their research and/or writing interests on rural education. In fact, in over two-thirds of the institutions, there was not a single faculty member researching or writing in the area. Only 93 of the 306 schools had faculty members pursuing rural interests, and in 59 of these, the number was limited to one or two. Similarly, over 70 percent of the institutions neither provided special topics nor a course(s) in the preparation of teachers for a rural setting. The vast majority of the 87 institutions which did include rural education as a part of their curriculum did so only as a subset of a more general course. Only nine institutions actually reported a course(s) devoted solely to the study of rural or small schools.

Although very few teacher education programs offer coursework designed specifically to prepare teachers for rural careers and few education faculty members conduct research in rural education, the Barker and Beckner study did find that many education deans and chairpersons recognize a need to give greater attention to rural education. Forty-eight percent of those surveyed agreed that teaching in a small rural school was different than teaching in a large urban school and indeed needed a different preparation. In addition, 34 percent feit their institution should make provisions to train prospective rural teachers. Furthermore, it appears that many teacher education programs—as a part of their regular curriculum—do address some issues deemed to be uniquely important to rural educators. From an investigation of the literature, Barker and Beckner compiled a list of areas of teacher preparation especially beneficial to instructors in rural schools (Gardener and Edington, 1982; Guenther and Weible, 1983; Horn, 1985; Meier and Edington, 1983; Nachligal, 1982; Sher,

1977). Table 1 reports responses by education deans and chairpersons as to the degree each of these 10 areas was emphasized at their institution. Responses were based on a scale of "1" to "5" where "1" represented "no emphasis given" and "5" represented "great emphasis given." Responses suggest that many public supported teacher training institutions do include aspects of prepartion which directly apply toward preparing teachers for rural areas. This is true regarding provision of practical methods courses and for prospective teachers to recognize and properly refer learning disabled, special education, and exceptional children. Most programs are also geared to help future teachers understand the role of the community and co be prepared to teach with limited resources and funding. Most programs fail to place much emphasis on field experiences or practicums in rural settings. The same is true in terms of preparing teachers for multigrade instruction in the same classroom and in offering coursework in rural sociology or rural education. Also, limited emphasis is given to providing skills in guidance and counseling.

Conclusion

Previous research has criticized colleges and departments of education for failing to give proper attention to the training of prospective rural teachers. While it is true that the preparation of rural teachers should be given increased attention, a unique preparation program is not possible for most institutions. Constraints of money, time, and expert personnel make it very difficult to expand present teacher education programs. Dwindling financial resources for education and research tend to diminish hopes for immediate improvement.

Rural educators should recognize that teacher training for rural schools is occurring in many ways at many institutions—usually as a part of the

TABLE 1

AREAS OF TEACHER EDUCATION GIVEN ATTENTION AT PUBLIC TEACHER TRAINING INSTITUTIONS AS PERCEIVED BY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION DEANS AND CHAIRPERSONS, 1985. REPORTED ON A SCALE OF "1" TO "5" WHERE "1" REPRESENTS "NO EMPHASIS GIVEN" AND "5" REPRESENTS "GREAT EMPHASIS GIVEN."

AREAS OF PREPARATION	NO EMPHASIS 1 N Percent		LITTLE EMPHASIS 2 N Percent			SOME EMPHASIS 3 N Percent		CONSIDERABLE EMPHASIS		EMPHASIS 5	
Practical Methods Courses	3	1.0	4	1.3	10	3.4	75	Percent 25.1	N 207	Percent 69.2	
Learning to teach with limited resources	2	0.7	26	8.6	116	38.7	114	38.0	42	14.0	
preparation in guidance and counseling of students	8	2.7	56	19.0	139	47.1	72	24.4	20	6.8	
Better preparation in two or more subject matter fields	3	1.0	23	7.8	70	23.8	117	39.8	81	27.6	
Exposure to a course in rural sociology	99	33.0	95	31.7	69	23.0	31	10.3	6	2.0	
Ability to teach two or more grade levels in the same room	66	22.1	95	31.9	88	29.5	38	12.8	11	3.7	



6

TABLE 1 (Continued)

AREAS OF PREPARATION	NO EMPHASIS <u>1</u>		LITTLE EMPHASIS <u>2</u>		SOME EMPHASIS <u>3</u>		CONSIDERALBE EMPHASIS <u>4</u>		GREAT EMPHASIS <u>5</u>	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Training to recognize and appropriately refer exception-al children	2	0.7	5	1.7	40	13.4	125	41.8	127	42.4
Training that helps teachers understand the role of the community in American Society	2	0.7	17	5.7	86	28.7	124	41.3	71	23.6
Practicum or student teaching in a rural setting	66	22.0	55	18.3	93	31.0	60	20.0	26	8.7
Course work directly related to rural school teaching	89	29.7	89	29.7	83	27.7	33	11.0	6	2.0



regular teacher education program. More can be done. Education students who plan to teach in rural and small schools must be made aware of the cultural, social, and economic conditions of rural areas. This can best be accomplished by providing opportunities for student teaching or practicums in nonmetropolitan schools. Prospective students can thereby experience the close relationship that exists between a rural community and its school. Another effort, which will not require significant changes to the curriculum, is to prepare future teachers to work with broader age ranges of students. This will enable them to successfully meet the needs of students in multigrade classrooms. Emphasis should also be given to preparing generalists who can teach in several subject areas. Finally, education deans and chairpersons can encourage more of their faculty members to conduct research in rural education. This is an area which beckons inquiry and investigation.

It is encouraging to see any efforts being made to improve the preparation of teachers for rural schools, and so it should be. Rural schools serve one-third of our nation's students. They deserve recognition and assistance.

References

- Barker, B. "Teacher Salaries in Rural America." <u>Texas Tech Journal of</u> Education, 12 (3) 1985, 145-149.
- Barker, B. and Beckner, W. "Rural Education Preservice Training: A Survey of Public Teacher Training Institutions in the United States." Paper presented at the 77th annual conference of the Rural Education Association, Cedar Rapids, Iowa. October 1985. ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 261 838.
- Beale, C. The Revival of Population Growth in Nonmetropolitan America. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1975.
- Gardener, C.E. and Edington, E.D. The Preparation and Certification of Teachers for Rural and Small Schools. New Mexico State University: ERIC Clearinghouse on Rural Education and Small Schools, 1982.
- Guenther, J. and Weible, T. "Preparing Teachers for Rural Schools." Research in Rural Education, 1 (2), Winter 1983, 59-61.
- Helge, D. "Rural Preservice Preparation: A Planning Framework; Current and Future Activities." NRP: National Rural Research and Personnel Preparation Project, 4 (1), Fall, 1982, 4-5, 11.
- Horn, J. G "Higher Education's Response to the Needs of Rural Schools." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Los Angeles, California, April 1981. ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 201 435.
- "Recruitment and Preparation of Quality Teachers for Rural Schools."

 Paper presented at the National Rural Education Forum, Kansas City,

 Missouri, August 1985.
- Hoyt, M.A. "Preservice/Inservice Training Options for Rural School Personnel (Fact Sheet)." New Mexico State University: ERIC Clearinghouse for Rural Education and Small Schools, 1981.
- Jacobson, R.L. "Higher Pay for 'Crackerjacks' Among Reforms Proposed for School Teaching." The Chronicle of Higher Education, September 11, 1985, p. 6.
- Massey, S. and Crosby, J. "Special Problems, Special Opportunities: Preparing Teachers for Rural Schools." Phi Delta Kappan 65 (4). December 1983, 265-269.
- Meier, E. and Edington, E.D. "Research Synthesis: Teacher Preparation for Rural Schools." Research in Rural Education, 2 (1) Spring 1983, 3-8.



- Miller, J. and Sidebottom, D. <u>Teachers: Finding and Keeping the Best in Small School Districts</u>. Arlington, Virginia: American Association of Small School Administrators. 1985 ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 254 377.
- Muse, I.D. "How Well Do We Prepare Teachers for Rural Schools?" PTA Today, December 1979/January 1980, 14.
- "Rurally Responsive Teacher Training." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the People United for Rural Education, Des Moines, Iowa. February 1978. ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 149 936.
- Muse, I.D. and Stonehocker, L. "A Study of Small Rural High Schools of Less than 200 Students: Perceptions of Teachers and Administrators." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Education Research Association, San Francisco, California, April 1979. ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 168 778.
- Nachtigal, P.M. (Ed.). <u>Rural Education</u>: <u>In Search of a Letter Way</u>. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press, 1982.
- National Center for Education Statistics. Statistics of Local Public School Systems. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1980.
- Nelson, M.R. "Teacher Education for Rural Schooling--A Status Report." Research in Rural Education, 1 (2), Winter 1983, 64-65.
- Sher, J.P. (Ed.). Education in Rural America: Reassessment of Conventional Wisdom. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press, 1977.

